lifebreaker
Lifebreaker is a fast-paced, action-packed, multiplayer game. Kill your friends and be the last one standing!
Free
Free Now
Free Now
Free Now

Jan Ivan
2 months 29 minutes ago

There are so many issues that the case I actually suspect people have. I'm a fan of Sabine's work, but I don't understand why she doesn't see the issue with the "Lonely Hearts" hypothesis. Aliens don't have to be lonely hearts looking for a mate. They could be here for any reason. It is very telling. It is as if she is looking at the world through a lens that only allows her to see what she wants to see. Her hypothesis is not falsifiable.

Regardless, I enjoy her videos.

Maybe the concrete cousin, the concrete cousin that biology which have both intelligence and technology, is the concrete cousin.

Reply

Lonely Lone Wolfbreeder, Jr. Esq.
2 months 29 minutes ago

Rogue whether for or against something is still violence. Who not be creative and imaginative and peaceful? Violence is a sign of underdevelopment. Violence is a lower predisposition and stupid response since Neanderthal and Homo Erectus. Violence is a sign of being a primitive and stupid species. Violence is a sign of 50,000 to 35,000 BCE in supplemental brain structure. In fact, Homo Erectus showing more intelligence than Neanderthal and Homo Sapiens. Homo Erectus showing more intelligence than Neanderthal and Homo Sapiens. Homo Erectus not the one who's called to provide networks, and to build a foundation for the future after an event. Homo Erectus not the one who's called to provide a foundation for the future after an event. Homo Erectus not the one who's called to provide a foundation for the future after an event. Homo Erectus not the one who's called to provide a foundation for the future after an event. Homo Erectus, Homo Sapiens, and Homo Neanderthalensis

Jonathan says:
January 27, 2012 at 10:00 am

Interesting discussion. Have enjoyed one of your better posts.

Reply

T Cliff says:
January 27, 2012 at 10:04 am

Thanks. I'm glad you found it interesting and prompted a response to self-thought and, and well stated comment pointing to an important issue. Glad you enjoyed the post.

Reply

Kenneth Gorman says:
January 27, 2012 at 10:04 am

I just this comment on the Bandwidth/Intuition version of this article, so I might as well put it in here as well.

Nice article. Made me think, as always. Why would someone want to "outsource their own thinking" even by using tools that have a bit of an inherent bias?

Well, I suppose that's the point of the article, isn't it? Sometimes it's easier to let someone else do your thinking for you. Sometimes it's easier to let a machine do your thinking for you. Sometimes it's easier to let a machine do your thinking for you because it's easier to let someone else do your thinking for you. Sometimes it's easier to let a machine do your thinking for you because it's easier to let someone else do your thinking for you. Sometimes it's easier to let a machine do your thinking for you because it's easier to let someone else do your thinking for you because it's easier to let a machine do your thinking for you. Sometimes it's easier to let a machine do your thinking for you because it's easier to let someone else do your thinking for you because

Reply

Edward Lewis
2 years ago (edited)
Since 1984?

Reply

Jonathan Lewis
2 years ago
Yes, I'm a Gen X.

Reply

Jonathan Lewis
2 years ago
Not a conversation you want to engage in with someone who is obsessive on this video is some dude who's not around anymore. But, well it seems she likes to stay, it's also satisfy, feeling like to bring up stuff, I'm not, I'm, I'm, I'm

that the reality of our society is the same for all of us where it is not so if we are the left out of the right or the right out of the left. Yet we are all in the same society. Yet we are not. Yet we are. Yet we are not. Yet we are. Yet we are not. Yet we are

Jul 8 edited

Jul 8 edited

Jul 8 edited

Jan 2, 2021

Jan 2, 2021

Jan 2, 2021

n. says:
February 25, 2020 at 6:45 am

I'd ask, anyone starting to fire up an angry response to Ben, to count down before wasting their breath. Firstly, there are plenty of trolls and they do travel around. Also, those who apply irony on the internets often forget to flag it as such (easier to spot with body language and / or face expressions).

REPLY

abe bubush says:
March 8, 2020 at 10:21 am

Well if your picture was in the review, or on the dustjacket when they looked the book up on Amazon, the aggressive responses were just because they immediately felt frustrated because they had no chance of actually having sex with you.

Men are amazingly simple when it comes down to it.

REPLY

S.R says:
April 28, 2020 at 11:00 am

The mainsplaining in the comments section is hilarious. Those comments really prove her point.

REPLY

S. D. M. says:
May 30, 2020 at 5:40 pm

I do believe that many people who "mansplain" are trying to be helpful–at least in their own eyes. And yes, sometimes men do it to other men, or women to other women or men. The vast majority of times though, it is men towards women, and it can be subtle and discouraging.

I'm not talking about narcissists, or power hungry, domineering creeps. I'm talking about nice people, who see themselves as "liberated," and maybe even "feminists."

I wonder how the average man would feel if the tables were turned, and if most women (not all–to be sure), kindly and patiently explained how to do things that most adults know how to do–repeatedly. Or how they would feel being told that their experience isn't real.

Like "reminding him repeatedly," that he needs to turn left on a route he drives alone every day, or telling him, nicely, that he can't be hungry, because, "it's only 3 PM "and we just had lunch."

I have been told while crying in excruciating pain, that my ears can't hurt "from just going up and down a couple of hills," and that to screw a desk I was building, to turn the screwdriver to the right. (This person knew I'd been putting flat box furniture together for years).

I agree with the thread referencing solicited vs. unsolicited advice. Just ask the person, male, female, or non-binary, "Would you like some: help, advice, information?" etc. And if they say "No, thank you," then don't!

P.S. I am aware of the non-grammatical writing above–as I did go to elementary school also.

REPLY

David Walsh says:
July 15, 2020 at 12:35 am

This was a nice essay and introduction, and I'm sure there is much more in the book. Nevertheless, Solnik's fundamental claim is that there exist gendered patterns of behavior in which men act as jerks (aka. assholes) towards women. From my masculine experience as a man, there are also more than a few common instances of the opposite nature: i.e., of women not taking men seriously and dissing and dismissing them (when they can get away with it). I wonder therefore if in the book (Men Explain Things to Me') you address methodological issues, or even the basic objection I just raised, therefore. What is the extent to which your thesis about 'mansplaining' can be proved ? I.e., Beyond the kind of anecdotes and grievances, which I admit are suggestively persuasive (but only suggestively), Solnick presents in this essay. Some men are assholes. We know that-and some women similarly.

REPLY

Leave a Comment

Name *

Email *

POST COMMENT

GUERNICA

Guernica is a non-profit magazine dedicated to global art and politics, published online since 2004. With contributors from every continent and at every stage of their careers, we are a home for singular voices, incisive ideas, and critical questions.

A Los Angeles Review of Books Affiliate

© 2004-2020 *Guernica*. All Rights Reserved.